PATTERNS OF BRAIN ACTIVATION IN PEOPLE AT RISK FOR ALZHEIMER’S DISEASE
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ABSTRACT

Background The e4 allele of the apolipoprotein E gene (APOE) is the chief known genetic risk factor for Alzheimer’s disease, the most common cause of dementia late in life. To determine the relation between brain responses to tasks requiring memory and the genetic risk of Alzheimer’s disease, we performed APOE genotyping and functional magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the brain in older persons with intact cognition.

Methods We studied 30 subjects (age, 47 to 82 years) who were neurologically normal, of whom 16 were carriers of the APOE e4 allele and 14 were homozygous for the APOE e3 allele. The mean age and level of education were similar in the two groups. Patterns of brain activation during functional MRI scanning were determined while subjects memorized and recalled unrelated pairs of words and while subjects rested between such periods. Memory was reassessed in 14 subjects two years later.

Results Both the magnitude and the extent of brain activation during memory-activation tasks in regions affected by Alzheimer’s disease, including the left hippocampal, parietal, and prefrontal regions, were greater among the carriers of the APOE e4 allele than among the carriers of the APOE e3 allele. During periods of recall, the carriers of the APOE e4 allele had a greater average increase in signal intensity in the hippocampal region (1.03 percent vs. 0.62 percent, P < 0.001) and a greater mean (±SD) number of activated regions throughout the brain (15.9 ± 6.2 vs. 9.4 ± 5.5, P = 0.005) than did carriers of the APOE e3 allele. Longitudinal assessment after two years indicated that the degree of base-line brain activation correlated with degree of decline in memory.

Conclusions Patterns of brain activation during tasks requiring memory differ depending on the genetic risk of Alzheimer’s disease and may predict a subsequent decline in memory. (N Engl J Med 2000; 343:450-6.)

ALZHEIMER’S disease is the most common cause of dementia late in life, affecting approximately 8 percent of people who are 65 years of age or older.1 Clinically diagnosed Alzheimer’s disease is preceded by gradual, progressive memory loss. Neuritic plaques2 and neurofibrillary tangles,3 the neuropathological hallmarks of Alzheimer’s disease, have also been found in adults without dementia, suggesting that the neuronal deficits leading to Alzheimer’s disease begin years before any clinical changes occur. New and potential treatments for dementia focus on slowing the progression of the disease rather than regenerating neural cells, making it important to identify at an early stage markers of future cognitive decline.

Genetic studies have identified an association between the presence of the e4 allele of the apolipoprotein E (APOE) gene on chromosome 19 and the common form of Alzheimer’s disease, which begins after the age of 60 years.4 APOE has three allelic variants (APOE e2, APOE e3, and APOE e4) and five common genotypes (e2/e3, e3/e3, e2/e4, e3/e4, and e4/e4). The APOE e4 allele has a dose-related effect on risk and the age at onset of late-onset familial Alzheimer’s disease and sporadic cases of the disease,5,6 whereas the APOE e2 allele appears to confer protection against the disease.9 Although the presence of the APOE e4 allele may be associated with cognitive decline in older persons, the APOE genotype alone is not considered useful in predicting...
whether the disease will develop in people without dementia.7

Structural magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in older persons with normal cognition may show me-
dial temporal atrophy and thus indicate the possibil-
ity of future cognitive decline3; cerebral atrophy, how-
ever, is seen only after a substantial proportion of
neural cells have died. Positron-emission tomographic
(PET) studies obtained during mental rest have iden-
tified parietal, temporal, and prefrontal deficits in glu-
cose metabolism in middle-aged persons who have
normal cognition and the APOE e4 allele,9,10 in whom
Alzheimer’s disease is not likely to develop for decades.

Activation imaging, which compares the level of
brain activity while subjects perform a task with the
level of activity in a control, or resting, state, may re-
veal more subtle alterations in brain function, per-
haps before the emergence of mild memory impair-
ment. Several activation PET studies that have used
cognitive stimuli have revealed a greater extent and
magnitude of brain activity among patients with Alz-
heimer’s disease than among age-matched subjects
with normal cognition.11-13 Like PET, functional MRI
provides measures of signal intensity associated with
relative cerebral blood flow during tasks requiring
memory or other types of cognitive skills,14,15 but it has
the advantages of producing more detailed pic-
tures in less time and does not involve exposure to
radiation. The signal intensity associated with a par-
ticular task in comparison with that associated with
the control condition reflects relative blood flow and,
consequently, neural activity, though indirectly.16-18

Previous activation PET and functional MRI stud-
ies revealed that the degree of neural activity in-
creases with the demands of the cognitive task,19 so
that a greater cognitive effort or a more difficult task in-
creases the magnitude and the spatial extent of brain
activation.19-21 These observations led us to hypo-
thesize that a challenging task requiring memory would
result in increased MRI signal intensity in presym-
ptomatic subjects at genetic risk for Alzheimer’s disease.

METHODS

Study Subjects

From December 1996 to May 1999, we performed base-line
studies in 30 subjects who were neurologically normal and for
whom technically adequate MRI scans of the brain were available.
These subjects were selected initially from a pool of 267 potential
subjects (age, 40 to 85 years) recruited through advertisements.
From this pool of subjects, we excluded left-handed subjects and
anyone who took drugs that could influence cognition, those
who had dementia, and those who had other medical, psychiatric,
or neurologic conditions, including cerebrovascular disease or hy-
pertension. The remaining 37 subjects underwent MRI scanning,
and 5 with technically inadequate scans were excluded. The re-
mainning 32 subjects underwent genotyping for APOE, according to
previously described methods.12,14 We excluded two subjects with
the APOE e2 allele. Among the remaining 30 subjects, 16 had the
APOE e4 allele — 14 were heterozygous (e3/e4) and 2 were
homzygous (e4/e4) — and 14 were homozygous for the APOE
e3 allele (e3/e3). The subjects had above-average intelligence, and
had scores on tests assessing memory that were normal for their age.
Memory was assessed with three standardized tests: the Con-
sistent Long-Term Retrieval section of the Buschke–Fuld Selec-
tive Reminding test,22 in which subjects are asked to learn and
then recite a list of 16 unrelated words over a total of 10 trials; the
Logical Memory portion of the Wechsler Memory Scale,23 in which
subjects hear and are then asked to recall two short stories imme-
diately after hearing them and after a 20-minute delay; and the
Benton Visual Retention examination,24 in which subjects are shown
various designs and then asked to reproduce them from memory.
Fourteen subjects underwent memory assessments again two years
later. The study was approved by the UCLA human-subjects pro-
tection committee, and all subjects gave written informed consent.

Imaging Procedures

We performed MRI with a 3-T unit (General Electric, Wauke-
sha, Wis.) with echo–planar imaging capability (Advanced NMR
Systems, Wilmington, Mass.). Functional MRI scanning was con-
ducted with a gradient echo, echo–planar acquisition sequence in
which the repetition time was 2.5 msec, the echo time was 45
msec, the flip angle was 80 degrees, the matrix image was 128 by
64, the field of view was 40 by 20 cm, and the in-plane resolution
was 3 mm. Sixteen slices that were each 4 mm thick, with a 1-mm
gap between slices, were obtained every 2.5 seconds for 9 minutes
while the subjects performed the memory-activation tasks and dur-
ing control periods. High-resolution spin–echo scans (matrix, 128
by 256; in-plane resolution, 1.5 mm; repetition time, 4000 msec;
echo time, 54 msec; and number of excitations, 4) acquired in the
same plane as the functional scans were used to normalize spatial
relations and help pinpoint regions of interest for the analysis of
data within subjects.

Memory-Activation Task

During functional MRI scanning, subjects performed a learn-
ing task involving unrelated pairs of words that is particularly sen-
tive for the identification of damage to the medial temporal lobe25
and that was chosen to engage memory systems maximally.
In this test, subjects listen to seven unrelated pairs of words (e.g.,
up and foot or table and flower) for six separate periods, or “learn-
ing” blocks, each of which is followed by 30-second periods of
rest, or “rest” blocks. Finally, during six periods of recall, or “re-
call” blocks, the subjects hear the first word in each pair and try
to recall the second silently (to avoid head motion). An alterna-
tive form of this test was also administered two hours before scan-
ing in which each learning period was followed by a cued-recall
period.

Statistical Analysis

Because individual differences in the degree of cortical atrophy
can distort efforts to normalize the results of MRI with respect
to spatial relations,26 group-averaged statistics may yield spurious
results in direct comparisons of groups. Therefore, we used two
approaches to analyze the patterns of activation on functional MRI:
group-averaged statistical parametric mapping analysis and an analy-
sis of the regions of interest within subjects. The latter approach
reduces the potential effects of cortical atrophy on the results.

Statistical Parametric Mapping Analysis

Parametric maps, in which statistically significant differences in
activity between learning and recall periods and periods of rest were
averaged for the APOE e3 and APOE e4 groups, were devised
and placed in a system with common coordinates. Each subject’s
T2-weighted echo–planar structural scan was fitted to the stand-
ard Talairach and Tournoux template27 with use of an 11-param-
eter rigid-body transformation. After correcting for head motion,28
we applied the transformation parameters to the co planar func-
tional images. Statistical analyses were performed with SPM’96
software (Wellcome Foundation, London). The functional imag-
es were smoothed to a full width of 6 mm at half-maximal resolution with use of a gaussian filter. We used the general linear model to analyze fixed effects within groups, specifying a six-second delayed-response function. We used proportional scaling to remove individual differences in the changes in global activity, and we assessed the differences in activity between three pairs of periods (learning vs. rest, recall vs. rest, and learning and recall combined vs. rest). This analysis included 10 carriers of the \textit{APOE \textepsilon 4} allele and 11 carriers of the \textit{APOE \textepsilon 3} allele; the remaining subjects, whose images were acquired with a smaller field of view, were excluded from this analysis to accommodate limitations in the memory of the hardware.

Because differences between groups in the MRI signal intensity may result from differences present during the rest (control) period rather than during the memory-activation tasks, we compared the signal intensity between groups during the memory-activation tasks and ignored values obtained during the rest periods. These analyses were also assessed for random effects with SPM96 software. Images from all 30 subjects were averaged into one summary image representing the combined results obtained during the learning and recall periods. We adjusted for base-line differences in signal intensity by scaling the average signal-intensity values for each subject to the group average. Statistical images were generated with use of the SPM96 PET group-analysis module and were not corrected for multiple comparisons.

\textbf{Region-of-Interest Analysis}

We assessed the relation between the performance on the memory-activation task and the MRI signal intensity for each subject by correlating the actual signal intensity in each voxel over time with the predicted increase in signal intensity during learning or recall periods and the decrease during rest periods, taking into account the slow rise and fall of the blood-flow response. We then used a cutoff value of 0.30 for Pearson’s \(r\) statistic (corresponding to a \(P\) value of less than 0.01) in six or more contiguous voxels to define activated regions. For each subject, we then used a template to locate all the activated regions. A region was defined as important if it contained any contiguous cluster of six or more voxels. The mean number of activated regions above the threshold value was calculated for learning and recall periods and for periods of rest, and the results were compared.

For the 14 subjects studied two years later, we correlated the number of activated regions in the brain with the extent of memory decline at follow-up using Spearman’s rank-order correlation coefficient. All statistical tests were two-tailed.

\textbf{RESULTS}

The demographic and clinical characteristics of the subjects in each group were similar, except that the carriers of the \textit{APOE \textepsilon 4} allele had lower scores on the delayed-recall test than did carriers of the \textit{APOE \textepsilon 3} allele (Table 1). The scores for both groups, however, fell within the normal range for this age group.

In the statistical parametric mapping analysis, we found significant increases in the MRI signal intensity during learning or recall periods as compared with resting periods for all subjects, regardless of the \textit{APOE} allele status (Fig. 1). Specifically, in both groups learning or recall resulted in increases in signal intensity in the left inferior frontal region (Broca’s area), the right prefrontal cortex, the transverse temporal gyri bilaterally, and the left posterior temporal and inferior parietal regions (Wernicke’s area). However, the extent and the intensity of activation in these regions were greater in the carriers of the \textit{APOE \textepsilon 4} allele than in the carriers of the \textit{APOE \textepsilon 3} allele, and there were significant increases in additional regions in these subjects (Fig. 1). The magnitude of the increase was greater in the left hemisphere. For example, in the parietal lobe, the signal intensity increased by 888 voxels in the left hemisphere, as compared with 44 in the right.

Direct comparisons of the signal intensity during the periods of learning or recall alone (ignoring rest periods) showed that the signal was more intense among carriers of the \textit{APOE \textepsilon 4} allele in the left prefrontal and bilateral orbitofrontal, superior temporal, and inferior and superior parietal regions, indicating that the differences between groups resulted from differences in the way the brain functioned during the memory-activation task and not during the resting state.

Visual inspection of the activation maps of individual subjects also indicated a pattern of greater signal intensity during the periods of learning or recall among the carriers of the \textit{APOE \textepsilon 4} allele (Fig. 2). The mean (±SD) number of regions of interest in

| TABLE 1. DEMOGRAPHIC AND CLINICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE STUDY GROUPS.* |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| CHARACTERISTIC                      | \textbf{RANGE OF POSSIBLE SCORES} | \textbf{\textit{APOE \textepsilon 3} CARRIERS (N=14)} | \textbf{\textit{APOE \textepsilon 4} CARRIERS (N=16)} |
| Female sex — no. (%)                | 7 (50)           | 9 (56)           |
| Family history of dementia — no. (%)| 8 (57)           | 10 (62)          |
| Age — yr                           | 62±8             | 63±8             |
| Years of education                 | 15±2             | 15±2             |
| Benton Visual Retention test, total errors¶ | 0–30            | 3.5±2.6          |
| Wechsler Memory Scale, Logical Memory Delayed Recall portion| 0–50            | 21.6±7.1         | 16.7±6.4          |
| Buschke–Fuld Selective Reminding test, Consistent Long-Term Retrieval section¶ | 0–144         | 48.8±28.1        | 49.4±28.3         |
| Uncorrelated-word-pair learning task|| 0–42            | 25.2±11.9        | 25.3±10.6         |

*Plus–minus values are means ±SD.

†For standardized rating scales and memory tests, lower scores reflect poorer performance, except in the case of the Benton test, in which higher scores indicate greater impairment.

‡A score of 4±5 is considered normal for subjects who are 60 to 64 years old, and a score of 5±5 is considered normal for subjects 65 to 74 years old.

¶A score of 60.2±32.4 for men and 71.4±36.8 for women is considered normal in this age group (55 to 64 years).

||This test was performed before functional MRI scanning; seven pairs of unrelated words were presented six times to the subjects, with the degree of cued recall assessed after each presentation. The total score reflects the total number of correct responses during retrieval for the six periods.
which activation increased above the threshold value during periods of learning or recall as compared with periods of rest was significantly greater among the carriers of the APOE ε4 allele than among the carriers of the APOE ε3 allele (15.9±6.2 vs. 9.4±5.5, P=0.005) — but only for comparisons in the left hemisphere (Table 2).

In both groups, the average signal intensity in the hippocampal regions clearly increased during periods of learning or recall as compared with periods of rest, but these increases were greater among the carriers of the APOE ε4 allele (Fig. 3A). The average percent change in signal intensity was consistently greater during recall periods than during learning periods. During periods of recall, the average increase in signal intensity was nearly twice as great among the carriers of the APOE ε4 allele as among carriers of the APOE ε3 allele (1.03 percent vs. 0.62 percent, P<0.001) (Fig. 3B). By contrast, the average increase in the hippocampal signal during learning periods was 0.90 percent among the carriers of the APOE ε4 allele and 0.61 percent among carriers of the APOE ε3 allele. The magnitude of these increases is similar to those in other functional MRI studies of memory activation. Such studies have typically reported increases of 2 to 3 percent in the primary sensory area and motor cortex and of less than 1 percent in the hippocampus.14,15

Figure 1. Statistical Parametric Maps of the Brain Used to Assess Subjects’ Performance on Memory-Activation Tests in Carriers of the APOE ε4 Allele and Carriers of the APOE ε3 Allele. Three-dimensional renditions of the surface of the brain are shown in gray, and colored areas indicate regions of significantly increased MRI signal intensity during learning or recall periods as compared with resting periods. The signal intensity increased significantly in the left inferior frontal region, the right prefrontal cortex, the transverse temporal gyrus bilaterally, and the left posterior temporal and inferior parietal regions in both groups. However, both the extent and the intensity of activation were greater among the carriers of the APOE ε4 allele. The carriers of the APOE ε4 allele also had significant increases in the left parahippocampal region (Talairach and Tournoux atlas coordinates, −12, −38, and −10), the left dorsal prefrontal cortex (−56, 0, and 34; −50, −5, and 44), and in the inferior and superior parietal lobes (−48, −52, and 44 and −20, −80, and 26, respectively) and the anterior cingulate gyrus (12, 20, and 32). Direct comparisons of the carriers of the APOE ε4 allele and the carriers of the APOE ε3 allele (bottom panel, which shows the difference between the carriers) further demonstrated the greater extent and magnitude of activity in the left prefrontal region (atlas coordinates −60, 2, and 14 and −54, −18, and 32) and bilateral orbitofrontal, superior temporal, and inferior and superior parietal regions in the carriers of the APOE ε4 allele.

Figure 2. Examples of Activation Maps on Single MRI Planes. Two carriers of the APOE ε3 allele (Panel A) had fewer and less extensive areas of statistically significant activation (indicated in red) than did two carriers of the APOE ε4 allele (Panel B). The white lines indicate examples of regions of interest used for the analyses of data within subjects.
Fourteen subjects were studied again 2 years later, including eight carriers of the APOE ε4 allele (mean follow-up, 27±2 months) and six carriers of the APOE ε3 allele (mean follow-up, 28±2 months). The extent of the change in memory was defined as the follow-up score minus the base-line score. The number of regions of interest with significant activation in the left hemisphere at base line was significantly correlated with the degree of decline in verbal recall after two years, as measured by scores on the Consistent Long-Term Retrieval section of the Buschke–Fuld Selective Reminding test (r = −0.65, P = 0.02). Similar, but nonsignificant, correlations were found with respect to scores on the Logical Memory Delayed Recall section of the Wechsler Memory Scale (r = −0.27) and the Benton Visual Retention test (r = 0.24).

**DISCUSSION**

We found that among older people who had the APOE ε4 allele and a normal memory for their age, both the magnitude and the extent of brain activation during verbal memory challenge were greater than those among similar subjects who had the APOE ε3 allele. These differences in patterns of brain activation in the left hemisphere correlated with the degree of decline in memory among subjects who were retested two years later. These functional MRI results extend established findings in PET studies of Alzheimer’s disease and aging to persons at genetic risk for Alzheimer’s disease.

Our findings are consistent with those of other neu-
roimaging studies that reported increased brain activity during cognitive challenge in subjects with normal cognitive function. More complex stimuli or more demanding cognitive processing results in a greater magnitude and area of signal intensity in regions critical to the task; likewise, as performance improves, through either innate ability or practice, the increase in signal intensity becomes smaller and more focal. 19,20

The greater increase in signal intensity in brain regions necessary for tasks requiring memory among the carriers of the APOE e4 allele suggests that they performed additional cognitive work to accomplish the task. Expanding the territory of neural tissue dedicated to such tasks, as well as increasing the number of neurons recruited or the firing rate within a given functional area, may augment the brain’s processing capacity, operating dynamically in response to cognitive demands. In persons at risk for Alzheimer’s disease, such increased brain activity may effectively serve a compensatory role, wherein subjects use additional cognitive resources to bring memory-related performance to a normal level.

In support of this compensatory hypothesis were the greater differences between groups during periods of recall, when subjects had to apply cognitive effort to retrieve the correct response. By contrast, differences between the groups were less pronounced during periods of learning, when subjects listened to but did not actively try to recall experimental stimuli. Furthermore, among the carriers of the APOE e4 allele the MRI signal intensity was increased in the anterior cingulate gyrus and dorsal prefrontal cortex, regions that show greater activation as cognitive effort increases. 22 The most plausible explanation for this pattern of response is that subjects at genetic risk for Alzheimer’s disease use greater cognitive effort to achieve the same level of performance as subjects who are not at genetic risk.

Although both groups of subjects had normal results on the logical-memory measure of the Wechsler Memory Scale, the results among carriers of the APOE e4 allele were poorer. 23 Such measures of delayed recall are particularly sensitive to the decline in memory associated with this allele. 23 The scores themselves were within the normal range and, when interpreted concomitantly with the results of a battery of memory tests, were not low enough to arouse clinical concern. Nonetheless, slight declines in the results of such tests may have greater importance when they are interpreted in combination with functional imaging and genetic data.

For signal intensity to be increased in association with compensatory processing, there must be enough healthy neural tissue to accommodate such a change. A substantial neural loss, by contrast, would most likely be associated with attenuated brain activity. Indeed, activation-imaging studies of patients with Alzheimer’s disease revealed decreased brain activity in the parietal and hippocampal regions and relatively higher activity in regions of the cortex that were not affected by the disease. 15,21 In those studies, tasks requiring memory made fewer demands on the subjects than in our study and apparently resulted in a passive approach to the task. By contrast, in our study, subjects closely attended to and actively retrieved stimuli. Such demanding paradigms may present a challenging behavioral probe that causes the observed increase in the patterns of signal intensity. Hence, we refer to our approach as a cognitive stress test. 12

In the subgroup of subjects whom we studied two years later, the level of brain activation at base line correlated with the degree of longitudinal memory decline. The pattern of these results suggests the potential usefulness of combining studies of brain activation and assessments of genetic risk in predicting future cognitive decline.

Several methodologic issues deserve comment. Changes in magnetic susceptibility arising from increased cerebrospinal fluid as a result of atrophy may affect medial temporal structures. Atrophy alone, however, could not explain the different results in the two groups of subjects, since the effects were largely unilateral and were present primarily during the recall periods. Because the functional MRI measure is a relative one, reduced base-line blood flow could provide an alternative explanation for the results. 11 Many factors influence the functional MRI signal, including the sensitivity of the scanner, the homogeneity of the field, the subject’s head motion, and the dependent signal measure. 30,34

Our results indicate that, as a group, older persons with a genetic risk for Alzheimer’s disease have alterations in brain function without obvious morphologic or behavioral indications of impending disease. Initial longitudinal follow-up indicates that the baseline level of brain activation can be used to predict subsequent decline in memory.

Supported by grants (MH52453, AG13308, AG10123, MO1 RR00856-21, RG2-96-051, NS31153, NS26630, AG05128, and AG11268) from the National Institutes of Health, a grant (JER094101) from the Alzheimer’s Association, a grant (9523380) from the California Department of Health and Human Services, the Montgomery Street Foundation, the Fran and Ray Stark Foundation Fund for Alzheimer’s Disease Research, the Ahmanson Foundation, the Lovelace Foundation, and the Tarklin Foundation.

We are indebted to Ms. Andrea Kaplan and Ms. Debbie Dorsey for help in recruiting the subjects and coordinating the study.

REFERENCES


